arXiv:1703.01410v2 [math.CO] 7 Mar 2017

Steiner Distance in Product Networks *

Yaping Mao[1,4], Eddie Cheng[2,4], Zhao Wang[3]

[1]School of Mathematics and Statistics, Qinghai Normal University, Xining, Qinghai 810008, China

[2]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Oakland University, Rochester, MI USA 48309

[3]School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

[4]Center for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences of Qinghai Province, Xining, Qinghai 810008, China

E-mails: maoyaping@ymail.com; echeng@oakland.edu; wangzhao@mail.bnu.edu.cn

Abstract

For a connected graph G of order at least 2 and $S \subseteq V(G)$, the *Steiner distance* $d_G(S)$ among the vertices of S is the minimum size among all connected subgraphs whose vertex sets contain S. Let n and k be two integers with $2 \leq k \leq n$. Then the *Steiner k-eccentricity* $e_k(v)$ of a vertex v of G is defined by $e_k(v) = \max\{d(S) \,|\, S \subseteq V(G),\ |S| = k,\ \textit{and}\ v \in S\}$. Furthermore, the *Steiner k-diameter* of G is $sdiam_k(G) = \max\{e_k(v) \,|\, v \in V(G)\}$. In this paper, we investigate the Steiner distance and Steiner k-diameter of Cartesian and lexicographical product graphs. Also, we study the Steiner k-diameter of some networks.

Keywords: distance; diameter; Steiner tree; Steiner distance; Steiner k-diameter; Cartesian product, lexicographical product.

AMS subject classification 2010: 05C05; 05C12; 05C76.

1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are undirected, finite and simple. We refer to [6] for graph theoretical notation and terminology not described here. For a graph G, let $V(G)$, $E(G)$,

*Supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11601254, 11551001, 11161037, and 11461054) and the Science Found of Qinghai Province (Nos. 2016-ZJ-948Q, and 2014-ZJ-907).

$e(G)$, $\delta(G)$, and $\overline{G}$ denote the set of vertices, the set of edges, the size, minimum degree, and the complement of G, respectively. The degree of a vertex v in G is denoted by $deg_G(v)$. A *subdivision* of G is a graph obtained from G by replacing edges with pairwise internally disjoint paths. The *connectivity* $\kappa(G)$ is defined as the order of a minimum vertex subset S of $V(G)$ such that $G-S$ is disconnected or has only one vertex. In this paper, we let K_n, P_n, $K_{1,n-1}$ and C_n be the complete graph of order n, the path of order n, the star of order n, and the cycle of order n, respectively. For any subset X of $V(G)$, let $G[X]$ denote the subgraph induced by X; similarly, for any subset F of $E(G)$, let $G[F]$ denote the subgraph induced by F. We use $G \setminus X$ to denote the subgraph of G obtained by removing all the vertices of X together with the edges incident with them from G; similarly, we use $G \setminus F$ to denote the subgraph of G obtained by removing all the edges of F from G. If $X = \{v\}$ and $F = \{e\}$, we simply write $G - v$ and $G \setminus e$ for $G - \{v\}$ and $G \setminus \{e\}$, respectively. For two subsets X and Y of $V(G)$ we denote by $E_G[X, Y]$ the set of edges of G with one end in X and the other end in Y. If $X = \{x\}$, we simply write $E_G[x, Y]$ for $E_G[\{x\}, Y]$. We divide our introduction into the following four subsections to state the motivations and our results of this paper.

1.1 Distance and its generalizations

Distance is one of the most basic concepts of graph-theoretic subjects. For a graph G, let $V(G)$, $E(G)$, and $e(G)$ denote the set of vertices, the set of edges, and the size of G, respectively. If G is a connected graph and $u, v \in V(G)$, then the *distance* $d_G(u, v)$ between u and v is the length of a shortest path connecting u and v. If v is a vertex of a connected graph G, then the *eccentricity* $e(v)$ of v is defined by $e(v) = \max\{d_G(u, v) \,|\, u \in V(G)\}$. Furthermore, the *radius* $rad(G)$ and *diameter* $diam(G)$ of G are defined by $rad(G) = \min\{e(v) \,|\, v \in V(G)\}$ and $diam(G) = \max\{e(v) \,|\, v \in V(G)\}$. These last two concepts are related by the inequalities $rad(G) \leq diam(G) \leq 2rad(G)$. The *center* $C(G)$ of a connected graph G is the subgraph induced by the vertices u of G with $e(u) = rad(G)$. Recently, Goddard and Oellermann gave a survey paper on this subject, see [27].

The distance between two vertices u and v in a connected graph G also equals the minimum size of a connected subgraph of G containing both u and v. This observation suggests a generalization of distance. The Steiner distance of a graph, introduced by Chartrand, Oellermann, Tian and Zou in 1989, is a natural and nice generalization of the concept of classical graph distance. For a graph $G(V, E)$ and a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ of at least two vertices, *an S-Steiner tree* or *a Steiner tree connecting S* (or simply, *an S-tree*) is a subgraph $T(V', E')$ of G that is a tree with $S \subseteq V'$. Let G be a connected graph of order at least 2 and let S be a nonempty set of vertices of G. Then the *Steiner distance* $d_G(S)$ among the vertices of S (or simply the distance of S) is the minimum size among

all connected subgraphs whose vertex sets contain S. Note that if H is a connected subgraph of G such that $S \subseteq V(H)$ and $|E(H)| = d_G(S)$, then H is a tree. Observe that $d_G(S) = \min\{e(T) \,|\, S \subseteq V(T)\}$, where T is subtree of G. Furthermore, if $S = \{u, v\}$, then $d_G(S) = d(u, v)$ is the classical distance between u and v. Set $d_G(S) = \infty$ when there is no S-Steiner tree in G.

Observation 1.1 *Let G be a graph of order n and k be an integer with $2 \leq k \leq n$. If $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $|S| = k$, then $d_G(S) \geq k - 1$.*

Let n and k be two integers with $2 \leq k \leq n$. The *Steiner k-eccentricity* $e_k(v)$ of a vertex v of G is defined by $e_k(v) = \max\{d(S) \,|\, S \subseteq V(G), |S| = k, \text{ and } v \in S\}$. The *Steiner k-radius* of G is $srad_k(G) = \min\{e_k(v) \,|\, v \in V(G)\}$, while the *Steiner k-diameter* of G is $sdiam_k(G) = \max\{e_k(v) \,|\, v \in V(G)\}$. Note for every connected graph G that $e_2(v) = e(v)$ for all vertices v of G and that $srad_2(G) = rad(G)$ and $sdiam_2(G) = diam(G)$.

Observation 1.2 *Let k, n be two integers with $2 \leq k \leq n$.*

(1) *If H is a spanning subgraph of G, then $sdiam_k(G) \leq sdiam_k(H)$.*

(2) *For a connected graph G, $sdiam_k(G) \leq sdiam_{k+1}(G)$.*

In [11], Chartrand, Okamoto, Zhang obtained the following upper and lower bounds of $sdiam_k(G)$.

Theorem 1.1 [11] *Let k, n be two integers with $2 \leq k \leq n$, and let G be a connected graph of order n. Then $k - 1 \leq sdiam_k(G) \leq n - 1$. Moreover, the upper and lower bounds are sharp.*

In [16], Dankelmann, Swart and Oellermann obtained a bound on $sdiam_k(G)$ for a graph G in terms of the order of G and the minimum degree δ of G, that is, $sdiam_k(G) \leq \frac{3n}{\delta+1} + 3k$. Later, Ali, Dankelmann, Mukwembi [2] improved the bound of $sdiam_k(G)$ and showed that $sdiam_k(G) \leq \frac{3n}{\delta+1} + 2k - 5$ for all connected graphs G. Moreover, they constructed graphs to show that the bounds are asymptotically best possible.

As a generalization of the center of a graph, the *Steiner k-center* $C_k(G)$ $(k \geq 2)$ of a connected graph G is the subgraph induced by the vertices v of G with $e_k(v) = srad_k(G)$. Oellermann and Tian [50] showed that every graph is the k-center of some graph. In particular, they showed that the k-center of a tree is a tree and those trees that are k-centers of trees are characterized. The *Steiner k-median* of G is the subgraph of G induced by the vertices of G of minimum Steiner k-distance. For Steiner centers and Steiner medians, we refer to [48, 49, 50].

The *average Steiner distance* $\mu_k(G)$ of a graph G, introduced by Dankelmann, Oellermann and Swart in [14], is defined as the average of the Steiner distances of all k-subsets of $V(G)$, i.e.

$$\mu_k(G) = \binom{n}{k}^{-1} \sum_{S \subseteq V(G), |S|=k} d_G(S).$$

For more details on average Steiner distance, we refer to [14, 15].

Let G be a k-connected graph and u, v be any pair of vertices of G. Let $P_k(u, v)$ be a family of k inner vertex-disjoint paths between u and v, i.e., $P_k(u, v) = \{P_1, P_2, \cdots, P_k\}$, where $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_k$ and p_i denotes the number of edges of path P_i. The *k-distance* $d_k(u, v)$ between vertices u and v is the minimum p_k among all $P_k(u, v)$ and the *k-diameter* $d_k(G)$ of G is defined as the maximum k-distance $d_k(u, v)$ over all pairs u, v of vertices of G. The concept of k-diameter emerges rather naturally when one looks at the performance of routing algorithms. Its applications to network routing in distributed and parallel processing are studied and discussed by various authors including Chung [12], Du, Lyuu and Hsu [22], Hsu [33, 34], Meyer and Pradhan [47].

1.2 Application background of Steiner distance and product networks

The Steiner tree problem in networks, and particularly in graphs, was formulated in 1971-by Hakimi (see [31]) and Levi (see [39]). In the case of an unweighted, undirected graph, this problem consists of finding, for a subset of vertices S, a minimal-size connected subgraph that contains the vertices in S. The computational side of this problem has been widely studied, and it is known that it is an NP-hard problem for general graphs (see [35]). The determination of a Steiner tree in a graph is a discrete analogue of the well-known geometric Steiner problem: In a Euclidean space (usually a Euclidean plane) find the shortest possible network of line segments interconnecting a set of given points. Steiner trees have application to multiprocessor computer networks. For example, it may be desired to connect a certain set of processors with a subnetwork that uses the least number of communication links. A Steiner tree for the vertices, corresponding to the processors that need to be connected, corresponds to such a desired subnetwork.

The Wiener index $W(G)$ of the graph G is defined as $W(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} d_G(u, v)$. Details on this oldest distance–based topological index can be found in numerous surveys, e.g., in [20, 51, 52, 53]. Li et al. [40] put forward a Steiner–distance–based generalization of the Wiener index concept. According to [40], the *k-center Steiner Wiener index* $SW_k(G)$ of the graph G is defined by

$$SW_k(G) = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq V(G) \\ |S|=k}} d(S)\,. \tag{1.1}$$

For $k = 2$, the above defined Steiner Wiener index coincides with the ordinary Wiener index. It is usual to consider SW_k for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, but the above definition would be applicable also in the cases $k = 1$ and $k = n$, implying $SW_1(G) = 0$ and $SW_n(G) = n - 1$. A chemical application of SW_k was recently reported in [30]. Gutman [29] offered an analogous generalization of the concept of degree distance. Later, Furtula, Gutman, and Katanić [23] introduced the concept of Steiner Harary index and gave its chemical applications. For more details on Steiner distance indices, we refer to [23, 30, 29, 40, 41, 44, 45, 46].

Cartesian product and lexicographic product are two of the standard products, which are studied extensively; see [32].

• The *Cartesian product* of two graphs G and H, written as $G\Box H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$, in which two vertices (g, h) and (g', h') are adjacent if and only if $g = g'$ and $(h, h') \in E(H)$, or $h = h'$ and $(g, g') \in E(G)$.

• The *lexicographic product* of two graphs G and H, written as $G \circ H$, is defined as follows: $V(G \circ H) = V(G) \times V(H)$, and two distinct vertices (g, h) and (g', h') of $G \circ H$ are adjacent if and only if either $(g, g') \in E(G)$ or $g = g'$ and $(h, h') \in E(H)$.

It is clear that the Cartesian product is commutative, that is, $G\Box H$ is isomorphic to $H\Box G$. Note that unlike the Cartesian product, the lexicographic product is a non-commutative product since $G \circ H$ is usually not isomorphic to $H \circ G$.

Product networks were proposed based upon the idea of using the product as a tool for "combining" two known graphs with established properties to obtain a new one that inherits properties from both. There are many such products including lexicographical product and Cartesian product; see [4, 19, 32, 38].

In [28], Gologranc obtained a sharp lower bound for Steiner distance of Cartesian product graphs. In Section 2, we give a sharp upper bound for Steiner distance, and also obtained the sharp upper and lower bounds for Steiner k-diameter of Cartesian product graphs. In Section 3, we derive the results for Steiner distance and Steiner k-diameter of lexicographic product graphs, which strengthen a result obtained by Anand et al. [3]. In Section 4, we give some applications of our main results, and study the Steiner diameter of some networks.

2 Results for Cartesian product

In this paper, let G and H be two graphs with $V(G) = \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n\}$ and $V(H) = \{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m\}$, respectively. Then $V(G * H) = \{(g_i, h_j) \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq n,\ 1 \leq j \leq m\}$, where $*$ denotes the Cartesian product operation or lexicographical product operation.

For $h \in V(H)$, we use $G(h)$ to denote the subgraph of $G * H$ induced by the vertex set $\{(g_i, h) \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Similarly, for $g \in V(G)$, we use $H(g)$ to denote the subgraph of $G \circ H$ induced by the vertex set $\{(g, h_j) \,|\, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$.

The following observation can be easily seen.

Observation 2.1 *Let G be a connected graph, and let $S = \{g, g', g''\} \subseteq V(G)$. Let T be a minimal S-Steiner tree. Then the tree T satisfies one of the following conditions.*

- *T is a path;*
- *T is a subdivision of $K_{1,3}$.*

We start with the following basic result.

Lemma 2.1 [32] *Let (g, h) and (g', h') be two vertices of $G\Box H$. Then*

$$d_{G\Box H}((g, h), (g', h')) = d_G(g, g') + d_H(h, h').$$

2.1 Steiner distance of Cartesian product graphs

In [28], Gologranc obtained the following lower bound for Steienr distance.

Lemma 2.2 [28] *Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer, and let G, H be two connected graphs. Let $S = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{j_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{j_k})\}$ be a set of distinct vertices of $G\Box H$. Let $S_G = \{g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \ldots, g_{i_k}\}$ and $S_H = \{h_{j_1}, h_{j_2}, \ldots, h_{j_k}\}$. Then*

$$d_{G\Box H}(S) \geq d_G(S_G) + d_H(S_H).$$

We will show that the inequality in Lemma 2.2 can be equality if $k = 3$; shown in following Corollary 2.2. But, for general k ($k \geq 4$), from Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 2.2, one may conjecture that for two connected graphs G, H, $d_{G\Box H}(S) = d_G(S_G) + d_H(S_H)$, where $S = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{j_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{j_k})\} \subseteq V(G\Box H)$, $S_G = \{g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \ldots, g_{i_k}\} \subseteq V(G)$ and $S_H = \{h_{j_1}, h_{j_2}, \ldots, h_{j_k}\} \subseteq V(H)$.

Remark 1: Actually, the equality $d_{G\Box H}(S) = d_G(S_G) + d_H(S_H)$ is not true for $|S| \geq 4$. For example, let G be a tree with degree sequence $(3, 2, 1, 1, 1)$ and H be a path of order 5. Let $S = \{(g_1, h_1), (g_2, h_2), (g_3, h_3), (g_4, h_4)\}$ be a vertex set of $G\Box H$ shown in Figure 1. Then $d_G(S_G) = 4$ for $S_G = \{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4\}$, and $d_H(S_H) = 4$ for $S_H = \{h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4\}$. One can check that there is no S-Steiner tree of size 8 in $G\Box H$, which implies $d_{G\Box H}(S) \geq 9$.

Although the conjecture of such an ideal formula is not correct, it is possible to give a strong upper bound for general k ($k \geq 3$). Remark 1 also indicates that obtaining a nice formula for the general case may be difficult. We now give such an upper bound of $d_{G\Box H}(S)$ for $S \subseteq V(G\Box H)$ and $|S| = k$.



Figure 1: Graphs for Remark 1.

Theorem 2.1 *Let k, m, n be three integers with $3 \leq k \leq mn$, and let G, H be two connected graphs with $V(G) = \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n\}$ and $V(H) = \{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m\}$. Let $S = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{j_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{j_k})\}$ be a set of distinct vertices of $G\Box H$, $S_G = \{g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \ldots, g_{i_k}\}$, and $S_H = \{h_{j_1}, h_{j_2}, \ldots, h_{j_k}\}$, where $S_G \subseteq V(G)$, $S_H \subseteq V(H)$ (S_G, S_H are both multi-sets). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(S_G) + d_H(S_H) &\leq d_{G\Box H}(S) \\ &\leq \min\{d_G(S_G) + (r+1)d_H(S_H), d_H(S_H) + (t+1)d_G(S_G)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where r, t $(0 \leq r, t \leq k-3)$ are defined as follows.

• *Let X_G^i $(1 \leq i \leq \binom{k}{3})$ be all the $(k-3)$-multi-subsets of $\{g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, , \ldots, g_{i_k}\}$ in G, and let r_i be the numbers of distinct vertices in X_G^i $(1 \leq i \leq \binom{k}{3})$, and let $r = \max\{r_i \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq \binom{k}{3}\}$.*

• *Let Y_H^j $(1 \leq j \leq \binom{k}{3})$ be all the $(k-3)$-multi-subsets of $\{h_{j_1}, h_{j_2}, \ldots, h_{j_k}\}$ in H, and let t_j be the numbers of distinct vertices in Y_H^j $(1 \leq j \leq \binom{k}{3})$, and let $t = \max\{t_j \,|\, 1 \leq j \leq \binom{k}{3}\}$.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.2, we have $d_{G\Box H}(S) \geq d_G(S_G) + d_H(S_H)$. By symmetry, we only need to show $d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq d_G(S_G) + (r+1)d_H(S_H)$. Recall that $V(G) = \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n\}$ and $V(H) = \{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $H(g_1), H(g_2), \ldots, H(g_a)$ be the H copies such that $|V(H(g_i)) \cap S| \neq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq a$. Then $(g_{i_1}, h_{j_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{j_k}) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{a} V(H(g_i))$, and hence we have the following cases to consider.

Case 1. There exists some $H(g_i)$ $(1 \leq i \leq a)$ such that $|V(H(g_i)) \cap S| \geq 3$.

Without loss of generality, let $V(H(g_1)) \cap S = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{j_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_s}, h_{j_s})\}$, where $s \geq 3$. Thus, we have $(g_{i_p}, h_{j_p}) = (g_1, h_{j_p})$ for each p $(1 \leq p \leq s)$, and $(g_{i_{s+1}}, h_{j_{s+1}})$, $(g_{i_{s+2}}, h_{j_{s+2}}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{j_k}) \in \bigcup_{i=2}^{a} V(H(g_i))$. Note that $(g_1, h_{j_1}), (g_1, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_1, h_{j_s}) \in V(H(g_1))$. On one hand, since there is an S_H-Steiner tree of size $d_H(S_H)$ in H, it follows

that there exists an Steiner tree of size $d_H(S_H)$ connecting

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(g_1, h_{j_1}), (g_1, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_1, h_{j_s})\} \cup \{(g_1, h_{j_{s+1}}), (g_1, h_{j_{s+2}}), \ldots, (g_1, h_{j_k})\} \\ = \; & \{(g_{i_1}, h_{j_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_s}, h_{j_s})\} \cup \{(g_1, h_{j_{s+1}}), (g_1, h_{j_{s+2}}), \ldots, (g_1, h_{j_k})\} \end{aligned}$$

in $H(g_1)$, say $T(g_1)$. For each i $(2 \leq i \leq k)$, let $T(g_i)$ be the Steiner tree in $H(g_i)$ corresponding to $T(g_1)$ in $H(g_1)$. Note that $T(g_i)$ $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ is the Steiner tree of size $d_H(S_H)$ connecting $\{(g_i, h_{j_1}), (g_i, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_i, h_{j_s}), (g_i, h_{j_{s+1}}), (g_i, h_{j_{s+2}}), \ldots, (g_i, h_{j_k})\}$ in $H(g_i)$. One can see that $(g_{i_{s+2}}, h_{j_{s+2}}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{j_k}) \in \bigcup_{i=2}^{a} V(T(g_i))$. On the other hand, since there is an S_G-Steiner tree of size $d_G(S_G)$ in G, it follows that there exists an Steiner tree of size $d_G(S_G)$ connecting $\{(g_1, h_{j_1}), (g_2, h_{j_1}), \ldots, (g_a, h_{j_1})\}$ in $G(h_{j_1})$, say $T(h_{j_1})$. Furthermore, the subgraph induced by the edges in $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{a} E(T(g_i))) \cup E(T(h_{j_1}))$ is an S-Steiner tree in $G \square H$ (see Figure 2 (a)), and hence $d_{G \square H}(S) \leq d_G(S_G) + a d_H(S_H)$. From the definition of r, if $s \geq 4$, then $r = a$ and $d_{G \square H}(S) \leq d_G(S_G) + r d_H(S_H)$. If $s = 3$, then $r = a - 1$ and $d_{G \square H}(S) \leq d_G(S_G) + (r+1) d_H(S_H)$.



Figure 2: Graphs for Cases 1 and 2 in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Case 2. There exists some $H(g_i)$ $(1 \leq i \leq a)$ such that $|V(H(g_i)) \cap S| = 2$.

Without loss of generality, let $V(H(g_1)) \cap S = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{j_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{j_2})\}$. Thus, $(g_{i_1}, h_{j_1}) = (g_1, h_{j_1})$, $(g_{i_2}, h_{j_2}) = (g_1, h_{j_2})$, and $(g_{i_3}, h_{j_3}), (g_{i_4}, h_{j_4}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{j_k}) \in \bigcup_{i=2}^{a} V(H(g_i))$. From Case 1, we can assume that $|V(H(g_i)) \cap S| = 2$ or $|V(H(g_i)) \cap S| = 1$ for each i $(2 \leq i \leq a)$.

We first assume that there exists some $H(g_j)$ $(2 \leq j \leq a)$ such that $|V(H(g_j)) \cap S| = 2$. Without loss of generality, let $|V(H(g_2)) \cap S| = 2$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $V(H(g_2)) \cap S = \{(g_{i_3}, h_{j_3}), (g_{i_4}, h_{j_4})\}$. Then $(g_{i_3}, h_{j_3}) = (g_2, h_{j_3})$, $(g_{i_4}, h_{j_4}) = (g_2, h_{j_4})$, and $(g_{i_5}, h_{j_5}), (g_{i_6}, h_{j_6}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{j_k}) \in \bigcup_{i=3}^{a} V(H(g_i))$. On one hand, since there is an S_H-Steiner tree of size $d_H(S_H)$ in H, it follows that there exists an Steiner tree of size $d_H(S_H)$ connecting

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(g_1, h_{j_1}), (g_1, h_{j_2})\} \cup \{(g_1, h_{j_3}), (g_1, h_{j_4})\} \cup \{(g_1, h_{j_5}), (g_1, h_{j_6}), \ldots, (g_1, h_{j_k})\} \\ = \; & \{(g_{i_1}, h_{j_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{j_2})\} \cup \{(g_1, h_{j_3}), (g_1, h_{j_4})\} \cup \{(g_1, h_{j_5}), (g_1, h_{j_6}), \ldots, (g_1, h_{j_k})\} \end{aligned}$$

in $H(g_1)$, say $T(g_1)$. For each i $(2 \leq i \leq k)$, let $T(g_i)$ be the Steiner tree in $H(g_i)$ corresponding to $T(g_1)$ in $H(g_1)$. Note that $T(g_i)$ $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ is the Steiner tree of size $d_H(S_H)$ connecting $\{(g_i, h_{j_1}), (g_i, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_i, h_{j_k})\}$ in $H(g_i)$. On the other hand, since there is an S_G-Steiner tree of size $d_G(S_G)$ in G, it follows that there exists an Steiner tree of size $d_G(S_G)$ connecting $\{(g_1, h_{j_3}), (g_2, h_{j_3}), \ldots, (g_a, h_{j_3})\}$ in $G(h_{j_3})$, say $T(h_{j_3})$. Furthermore, the subgraph induced by the edges in $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{a} E(T(g_i))) \cup E(T(h_{j_3}))$ is an S-Steiner tree (see Figure 2 (b)), and hence $d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq d_G(S_G) + a d_H(S_H)$. From the definition of r, we have $r = a - 1$, and hence $d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq d_G(S_G) + (r+1) d_H(S_H)$.

Next, we assume that $|V(H(g_i)) \cap S| = 1$ for each i $(2 \leq i \leq a)$. Without loss of generality, let $|V(H(g_2)) \cap S| = 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $V(H(g_2)) \cap S = \{(g_{i_3}, h_{j_3})\}$. Then $(g_{i_3}, h_{j_3}) = (g_2, h_{j_3})$, and $(g_{i_4}, h_{j_4}), (g_{i_5}, h_{j_5}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{j_k}) \in \bigcup_{i=3}^{a} V(H(g_i))$. On one hand, since there is an S_H-Steiner tree of size $d_H(S_H)$ in H, it follows that there exists an Steiner tree of size $d_H(S_H)$ connecting

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(g_1, h_{j_1}), (g_1, h_{j_2})\} \cup \{(g_1, h_{j_3})\} \cup \{(g_1, h_{j_4}), (g_1, h_{j_5}), \ldots, (g_1, h_{j_k})\} \\ = \; & \{(g_{i_1}, h_{j_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{j_2})\} \cup \{(g_1, h_{j_3})\} \cup \{(g_1, h_{j_5}), (g_1, h_{j_6}), \ldots, (g_1, h_{j_k})\} \end{aligned}$$

in $H(g_1)$, say $T(g_1)$. For each i $(3 \leq i \leq k)$, let $T(g_i)$ be the Steiner tree in $H(g_i)$ corresponding to $T(g_1)$ in $H(g_1)$. Note that $T(g_i)$ is the Steiner tree of size $d_H(S_H)$ connecting $\{(g_i, h_{j_1}), (g_i, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_i, h_{j_k})\}$ in $H(g_i)$. On the other hand, since there is an S_G-Steiner tree of size $d_G(S_G)$ in G, it follows that there exists an Steiner tree of size $d_G(S_G)$ connecting $\{(g_1, h_{j_3}), (g_2, h_{j_3}), \ldots, (g_a, h_{j_3})\}$ in $G(h_{j_3})$, say $T(h_{j_3})$. Furthermore, the subgraph induced by the edges in $(\bigcup_{i=3}^{a} E(T(g_i))) \cup E(T(g_1)) \cup E(T(h_{j_3}))$ is an S-Steiner tree in $G\Box H$ (see Figure 2 (c)), and hence $d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq d_G(S_G) + (a-1) d_H(S_H)$. From the definition of r, we have $r = a-2$, and hence $d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq d_G(S_G) + (r+1) d_H(S_H)$.

Case 3. For each $H(g_i)$ $(1 \leq i \leq a)$, $|V(H(g_i)) \cap S| = 1$.

In this case, $a = k$ and $(g_{i_r}, h_{j_r}) = (g_r, h_{j_r}) \in V(H(g_r))$ for each r $(1 \leq r \leq k)$. Note that $S_H = \{h_{j_i} \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$. If $|S_H| = 1$, then $h_{j_1} = h_{j_2} = \ldots = h_{j_k}$. Since there is an S_G-Steiner tree of size $d_G(S_G)$ in G, it follows that there exists an Steiner tree of size $d_G(S_G)$ connecting $\{(g_1, h_{j_1}), (g_2, h_{j_1}), \ldots, (g_k, h_{j_1})\} = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{j_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{j_k})\}$ in $G(h_{j_1})$, say $T(h_{j_1})$. Since the tree $T(h_{j_1})$ is an S-Steiner tree, it follows that $d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq d_G(S_G) = d_G(S_G) + 0 \times d_H(S_H) \leq d_G(S_G) = d_G(S_G) + (r+1) \times d_H(S_H)$. If $|S_H| = 2$, then we can assume that $h_{j_1} = h_{j_2} = \ldots = h_{j_s}$, $h_{j_{s+1}} = h_{j_{s+2}} = \ldots = h_{j_k}$, and $h_{j_1} \neq h_{j_k}$. Furthermore, we can assume that $s \geq \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$. Since there is an S_H-Steiner tree of size $d_H(S_H)$ in H, it follows that for each i $(s+1 \leq i \leq k)$, there exists a path $P(g_i)$ connecting (g_i, h_{j_1}) and (g_i, h_{j_k}). Since there is an S_G-Steiner tree of size $d_G(S_G)$ in G, it follows that there exists an Steiner tree of size $d_G(S_G)$ connecting $\{(g_1, h_{j_1}), (g_2, h_{j_1}), \ldots, (g_k, h_{j_1})\}$ in $G(h_{j_1})$, say $T(h_{j_1})$. Then the subgraph induced by the edges in

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=s+1}^{k} E(P(g_i)) \right) \cup E(T(h_{j_1}))$$

is an S-Steiner tree in $G\Box H$, and hence $d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq d_G(S_G) + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor d_H(S_H)$. Since $a = k$ and $r = k - 3$, it follows that $d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq d_G(S_G) + (k-2)d_H(S_H) = d_G(S_G) + (r+1)d_H(S_H)$.

From now on, we assume $|S_H| \geq 3$. Note that there is an S_H-Steiner tree of size $d_H(S_H)$ in H, say T. Without loss of generality, let $h_{j_1} \neq h_{j_2} \neq h_{j_3}$. Since $h_{j_1}, h_{j_2}, h_{j_3} \in V(T)$, it follows that there is a minimal subtree T' connecting $\{h_{j_1}, h_{j_2}, h_{j_3}\}$ in T. From Observation 2.1, T' is a path or T' is a subdivision of $K_{1,3}$. If T' is a path, then without loss of generality, we can assume h_{j_2} is the interval vertex of T'. Therefore, there are a unique (h_{j_1}, h_{j_2})-path, say P^1, and a unique (h_{j_2}, h_{j_3})-path, say P^2, in T'. If T' is a subdivision of $K_{1,3}$, then there exists a vertex in T', say $h^* \in V(H) \setminus \{h_{j_1}, h_{j_2}, h_{j_3}\}$, such that there are three path Q^1, Q^2, Q^3 connecting h^* and $h_{j_1}, h_{j_2}, h_{j_3}$, respectively, in T'.

We first consider the case that T' is a path. On one hand, for each i $(1 \leq i \leq k)$, let $T(g_i)$ be the Steiner tree in $H(g_i)$ corresponding to T in H. Note that $T(g_i)$ is the Steiner tree of size $d_H(S_H)$ connecting $\{(g_i, h_{j_1}), (g_i, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_i, h_{j_k})\}$ in $H(g_i)$. For each i $(1 \leq i \leq 3)$, let $P^1(g_i)$ be the path in $H(g_i)$ corresponding to P^1 in H, and let $P^2(g_i)$ be the path in $H(g_i)$ corresponding to P^2 in H. On the other hand, since there is an S_G-Steiner tree of size $d_G(S_G)$ in G, it follows that there exists an Steiner tree of size $d_G(S_G)$ connecting $\{(g_1, h_{j_2}), (g_2, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_k, h_{j_2})\}$ in $G(h_{j_2})$, say $T(h_{j_2})$. Furthermore, the subgraph induced by the edges in

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=4}^{a} E(T(g_i)) \right) \cup E(P^1(g_1)) \cup E(P^3(g_3)) \cup E(T(h_{j_2}))$$

is an S-Steiner tree in $G\Box H$ (see Figure 3 (a)), and hence $d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq d_G(S_G) + (a -$

2)$d_H(S_H)$. Since $a = k$ and $r = k-3$, it follows that $d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq d_G(S_G)+(r+1)d_H(S_H)$.

Next, we consider the case that T' is a subdivision of $K_{1,3}$. On one hand, for each i $(1 \leq i \leq k)$, let $T(g_i)$ be the tree in $H(g_i)$ corresponding to T in H. Note that $T(g_i)$ is the Steiner tree of size $d_H(S_H)$ connecting $\{(g_i, h_{j_1}), (g_i, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_i, h_{j_k})\}$ in $H(g_i)$. For each i $(1 \leq i \leq 3)$, let $Q^1(g_i)$ be the path in $H(g_i)$ corresponding to Q^1 in H, and let $Q^2(g_i)$ be the path in $H(g_i)$ corresponding to Q^2 in H, and let $Q^3(g_i)$ be the path in $H(g_i)$ corresponding to Q^3 in H. For each i $(1 \leq i \leq k)$, let (g_i, h^*) be the path in $H(g_i)$ corresponding to h^* in H. On the other hand, since there is an S_G-Steiner tree of



Figure 3: Graphs for Case 3 in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

size $d_G(S_G)$ in G, it follows that there exists an Steiner tree of size $d_G(S_G)$ connecting $\{(g_1, h^*), (g_2, h^*), \ldots, (g_k, h^*)\}$ in $G(h^*)$, say $T(h^*)$. Furthermore, the subgraph induced by the edges in

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=4}^{a} E(T(g_i))\right) \cup E(Q^1(g_1)) \cup E(Q^2(g_2)) \cup E(Q^3(g_3)) \cup E(T(h^*))$$

is an S-Steiner tree in $G\Box H$ (see Figure 3 (b)), and hence $d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq d_G(S_G) + (a -$

2)$d_H(S_H)$. Since $a = k$ and $r = k-3$, it follows that $d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq d_G(S_G)+(r+1)d_H(S_H)$.

From the above argument, we conclude that $d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq \min\{d_G(S_G)+(r+1)d_H(S_H), d_H(S_H)+(t+1)d_G(S_G)\}$, as desired. ∎

The following corollaries are immediate from Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.1 *Let G, H be two connected graphs of order n, m, respectively. Let k be an integer with $3 \leq k \leq mn$. Let $S = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{j_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{j_k})\}$ be a set of distinct vertices of $G\Box H$. Let $S_G = \{g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \ldots, g_{i_k}\}$ and $S_H = \{h_{j_1}, h_{j_2}, \ldots, h_{j_k}\}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(S_G) + d_H(S_H) &\leq d_{G\Box H}(S) \\ &\leq \min\{d_G(S_G) + (k-2)d_H(S_H), d_H(S_H) + (k-2)d_G(S_G)\} \\ &= d_G(S_G) + d_H(S_H) + (k-3)\min\{d_H(S_H), d_G(S_G)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.2 *Let G, H be two connected graphs, and let (g, h), (g', h') and (g'', h'') be three vertices of $G\Box H$. Let $S_G = \{g, g', g''\}$, $S_H = \{h, h', h''\}$, and $S = \{(g, h), (g', h'), (g'', h'')\}$. Then*

$$d_{G\Box H}(S) = d_G(S_G) + d_H(S_H)$$

To show the sharpness of the above upper and lower bound, we consider the following example.

Example 1: (1) For $k = 3$, from Corollary 2.2, we have $d_{G\Box H}(S) = d_G(S_G) + d_H(S_H)$, which implies that the upper and lower bounds in Corollary 2.1 and Theorem 2.1 are sharp.

(2) Let G, H be two connected graphs. Suppose $4 \leq k \leq |V(H)|$. Choose k vertices in $H(g)$ for fixed $g \in V(G)$, say $(g, h_1), (g, h_2), \cdots, (g, h_k)$. Clearly, $d_G(S_G) = 0$. From Corollary 2.1, $d_{G\Box H}(S) \geq d_G(S_G)+d_H(S_H) = d_H(S_H)$ and $d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq d_G(S_G)+d_H(S_H)+(k-3)\min\{d_H(S_H), d_G(S_G)\} = d_H(S_H)$, and hence $d_{G\Box H}(S) = d_H(S_H)$. This implies that the upper and lower bounds in Corollary 2.1 are sharp. From the definition of r, we have $r = 1$ and $d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq d_G(S_G) + d_H(S_H) + r\min\{d_H(S_H), d_G(S_G)\} = d_H(S_H)$, and hence $d_{G\Box H}(S) = d_H(S_H)$. This also implies that the upper and lower bounds in Theorem 2.1 are sharp.

(3) Let $G = P_n$ and $H = P_m$ with $n \leq m$, where $P_n = g_1g_2\cdots g_n$ and $P_m = h_1h_2\cdots h_m$. Choose $S = \{(g_1, h_1), (g_1, h_m), (g_n, h_1), (g_n, h_m)\}$. Then $d_G(S_G) = n-1$, $d_H(S_H) = m-1$ and $d_{G\Box H}(S) = 2(n-1)+(m-1) = d_G(S_G) + d_H(S_H) + (4-3)\min\{d_H(S_H), d_G(S_G)\}$, which implies that the upper bound in Corollary 2.1 are sharp.

2.2 Steiner diameter of Cartesian product graphs

For Steiner k-diameter, we have the following.

Theorem 2.2 *Let k, m, n be an integer with $3 \leq k \leq mn$ and $n \leq m$. Let G, H be two connected graphs of order n, m, respectively.*

(1) *If $k \leq n$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & sdiam_k(G) + sdiam_k(H) \\ \leq\ & sdiam_k(G\Box H) \\ \leq\ & sdiam_k(G) + sdiam_k(H) + (k-3)\min\{sdiam_k(G), sdiam_k(H)\}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) *If $n < k \leq m$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} n - 1 + sdiam_k(H) \ &\leq\ sdiam_k(G\Box H) \\ &\leq\ n - 1 + sdiam_k(H) + (k-3)\min\{n-1, sdiam_k(H)\}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) *If $m < k \leq mn$, then*

$$n + m - 2 \leq sdiam_k(G\Box H) \leq m - 1 + (k-2)(n-1).$$

(4) *If $mn - \kappa(G\Box H) + 1 \leq k \leq mn$, then $sdiam_k(G\Box H) = k - 1$.*

Proof. We first consider all the upper bounds in this theorem. From the definition of $sdiam_k(G\Box H)$, there exists a vertex subset $S \subseteq V(G\Box H)$ with $|S| = k$ such that $d_{G\Box H}(S) = sdiam_k(G\Box H)$. Let $S = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{j_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{j_k})\}$, and let $S_G = \{g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \ldots, g_{i_k}\}$ and $S_H = \{h_{j_1}, h_{j_2}, \ldots, h_{j_k}\}$. From Corollary 2.1, we have

$$sdiam_k(G\Box H) = d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq \min\{d_G(S_G) + (k-2)d_H(S_H), (k-2)d_G(S_G) + d_H(S_H)\}.$$

For (1), since $k \leq n$, it follows that $d_G(S_G) \leq sdiam_k(G)$ and $d_H(S_H) \leq sdiam_k(H)$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & sdiam_k(G\Box H) = d_{G\Box H}(S) \\ \leq\ & \min\{d_G(S_G) + (k-2)d_H(S_H), (k-2)d_G(S_G) + d_H(S_H)\} \\ \leq\ & \min\{sdiam_k(G) + (k-2)sdiam_k(H), (k-2)sdiam_k(G) + sdiam_k(H)\} \\ =\ & sdiam_k(G) + sdiam_k(H) + (k-3)\min\{sdiam_k(G), sdiam_k(H)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For (2), since $n < k \leq m$, it follows that $d_G(S_G) \leq n-1$ and $d_H(S_H) \leq sdiam_k(H)$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} sdiam_k(G\Box H) &= d_{G\Box H}(S) \\ &\leq \min\{d_G(S_G) + (k-2)d_H(S_H), (k-2)d_G(S_G) + d_H(S_H)\} \\ &\leq \min\{n-1+(k-2)sdiam_k(H), (k-2)(n-1) + sdiam_k(H)\} \\ &= n-1+sdiam_k(H) + (k-3)\min\{n-1, sdiam_k(H)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For (3), since $m < k \leq mn$, it follows that $d_G(S_G) \leq n-1$ and $d_H(S_H) \leq m-1$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} sdiam_k(G\Box H) &= d_{G\Box H}(S) \\ &\leq \min\{d_G(S_G) + (k-2)d_H(S_H), (k-2)d_G(S_G) + d_H(S_H)\} \\ &\leq \min\{n-1+(k-2)(m-1), (k-2)(n-1) + (m-1)\} \\ &= m-1+(k-2)(n-1). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the lower bounds in this theorem. For (1), we suppose $k \leq n \leq m$. From the definition of $sdiam_k(G)$, it follows that there exists a vertex subset $S_G \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S_G| = k$ such that $d_G(S_G) = sdiam_k(G)$. Similarly, there exists a vertex subset $S_H \subseteq V(H)$ with $|S_H| = k$ such that $d_H(S_H) = sdiam_k(H)$. Without loss of generality, let $S_G = \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k\}$ and $S_H = \{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_k\}$. Then $S = \{(g_1, h_1), (g_2, h_2), \ldots, (g_k, h_k)\} \subseteq V(G\Box H)$ and $|S| = k$. From Lemma 2.2 and the definition of Steiner k-diameter, we have

$$sdiam_k(G) + sdiam_k(H) = d_G(S_G) + d_H(S_H) \leq d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq sdiam_k(G\Box H).$$

For (2), we suppose $n < k \leq m$. Let $S = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{j_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{j_k})\}$ be a set of distinct vertices of $G\Box H$ such that $V(G) \subseteq \{g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \ldots, g_{i_k}\} = S_G$ and $d_H(S_H) = sdiam_k(H)$, where $S_H = \{h_{j_1}, h_{j_2}, \ldots, h_{j_k}\}$. From Lemma 2.2, we have

$$n-1+sdiam_k(H) = d_G(S_G) + d_H(S_H) \leq d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq sdiam_k(G\Box H).$$

For (3), we suppose $m < k \leq mn$. Let $S = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{j_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{j_k})\}$ be a set of distinct vertices of $G\Box H$ such that $V(G) \subseteq S_G$ and $V(H) \subseteq S_H$, where $S_G = \{g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \ldots, g_{i_k}\}$ and $S_H = \{h_{j_1}, h_{j_2}, \ldots, h_{j_k}\}$. From Lemma 2.2, we have

$$n+m-2 = (n-1)+(m-1) = d_G(S_G) + d_H(S_H) \leq d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq sdiam_k(G\Box H),$$

as desired.

For (4), we suppose $mn - \kappa(G\Box H) + 1 \leq k \leq mn$. For any $S \subseteq V(G\Box H)$ with $|S| = k$, we have $|V(G)| - |S| \leq \kappa(G\Box H) - 1$, and hence $G[S]$ is connected. Therefore, we have

$d_{G\Box H}(S) \leq k-1$, and hence $sdiam_k(G\Box H) \leq k-1$ by the arbitrariness of S. So, we have $sdiam_k(G\Box H) = k-1$. ∎

The following corollary is immediate from Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.3 *Let G, H be two connected graphs of order at least 3. Then*

$$sdiam_3(G\Box H) = sdiam_3(G) + sdiam_3(H).$$

To show the sharpness of the above upper and lower bound, we consider the following example.

Example 2: (1) For $k = 3$, from Corollary 2.3, we have $sdiam_k(G\Box H) = sdiam_k(G) + sdiam_k(H)$, which implies that the upper and lower bounds in Theorem 2.2 are sharp.

(2) Let $G = P_n$ and $H = P_m$ with $5 \leq n \leq m$. Then $sdiam_4(G) = n-1$, $sdiam_4(H) = m-1$ and $sdiam_4(G\Box H) = 2(n-1) + (m-1)$, which implies that all the upper bounds in Theorem 2.2 are sharp.

3 Results for lexicographic product

From the definition, the lexicographic product graph $G \circ H$ is a graph obtained by replacing each vertex of G by a copy of H and replacing each edge of G by a complete bipartite graph $K_{m,m}$, where $m = |V(H)|$.

Lemma 3.1 [32] *Let (g,h) and (g',h') be two vertices of $G \circ H$. Then*

$$d_{G\circ H}((g,h),(g',h')) = \begin{cases} d_G(g,g'), & \text{if } g \neq g'; \\ d_H(h,h'), & \text{if } g = g' \text{ and } deg_G(g) = 0; \\ \min\{d_H(h,h'),2\}, & \text{if } g = g' \text{ and } deg_G(g) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

A *weak homomorphism* $\varphi : G \to H$ is a map $\varphi : V(G) \to V(H)$ for which $uv \in E(G)$ implies $\varphi(u)\varphi(v) \in E(H)$ or $\varphi(u) = \varphi(v)$. Observe that the projection $p : G \circ H \to G$ is a weak homomorphism. For more details, we refer to [32] (p.32,p.57).

Lemma 3.2 [32] *Let (g,h) and (g',h') be two vertices of $G \circ H$. Then*

$$d_{G\circ H}((g,h),(g',h')) \geq d_G(g,g').$$

3.1 Steiner distance of lexicographic product graphs

The following proposition is a generalization of Lemma 3.2, which is a natural lower bound of $d_{G\circ H}(S)$ for $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $|S| = k$.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer, G be a connected graph, and H be a graph. Let $S = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{j_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{j_k})\}$ be a set of distinct vertices of $G \circ H$. Let $S_G = \{g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \ldots, g_{i_k}\}$. Then*

$$d_{G\circ H}(S) \geq d_G(S_G)$$

Proof. We note that $g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \ldots, g_{i_k}$ are not necessarily distinct. Let T be a minimum Steiner tree for S in $G \circ H$. So T has $d_{G\circ H}(S)$ edges. Let Z be the minor obtained from $G \circ H$ by contracting edge in $H(g)$ for every g of G. (Equivalently, identifying all the vertices in $H(g)$ into a single vertex g and delete multiple edges in the resulting graph.) Then Z is isomorphic to G. Now T becomes Y, a connected subgraph of Z containing the vertices corresponding to $g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \ldots, g_{i_k}$ in G. Thus $E(Y) \geq d_G(S_G)$. Since $E(T) \geq E(Y)$, the result follows. ∎

Anand et al. [3] obtained the following formula.

Lemma 3.4 [3] *Let $k \geq 2$. Let $S = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{j_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{j_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{j_k})\}$ be a set of distinct vertices of $G \circ H$ such that $g_{i_p} \neq g_{i_q}$ $(1 \leq p, q \leq k)$. Let $S_G = \{g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \ldots, g_{i_k}\}$. Then*

$$d_{G\circ H}(S) = d_G(S_G).$$

For general case, we have the following formula for Steiner distance of lexicographic product graphs.

Theorem 3.1 *Let k, n, m be three integers with $2 \leq k \leq mn$. Let G be a connected graph of order n, and H be a graph of order m. Let $S = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{i_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{i_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{i_k})\}$ be a set of distinct vertices of $G \circ H$. Let $S_G = \{g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \ldots, g_{i_k}\}$ and $S_H = \{h_{j_1}, h_{j_2}, \ldots, h_{j_k}\}$ (note that S_G, S_H are both multi-set). Let r be the number of distinct vertices in S_G, where $1 \leq r \leq k$.*

(1) *If $r = 1$ and $H[S_H]$ is connected in H, then $d_{G\circ H}(S) = k - 1$.*

(2) *If $r = 1$ and $H[S_H]$ is not connected in H, then $d_{G\circ H}(S) = k$.*

(3) *If $r \geq 2$, then $d_{G\circ H}(S) = d_G(S_G) + k - r$.*

Proof. (1) Since $r = 1$, it follows that $g_{i_1} = g_{i_2} = \ldots = g_{i_k}$, and hence $S = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{i_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{i_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{i_k})\} = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{i_1}), (g_{i_1}, h_{i_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_1}, h_{i_k})\} \subseteq V(H(g_{i_1}))$. Since $H[S_H]$ is connected in H, it follows that the subgraph induced by the vertices in $\{(g_{i_1}, h_{i_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{i_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{i_k})\}$ is connected in $H(g_{i_1})$, and hence $d_{G\circ H}(S) = k - 1$.

(2) Since $H[S_H]$ is not connected in H, it follows that the subgraph induced by the vertices in $\{(g_{i_1}, h_{i_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{i_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{i_k})\}$ is not connected in $H(g_{i_1})$, and hence

$d_{G\circ H}(S) \geq k$. Since G is a connected graph of order at least 2, it follows that there exists a vertex $g^* \in V(G)$ such that $g_{i_1}g^* \in E(G)$. From the structure of $G \circ H$, the tree induced by the edges in $\{(g_{i_p}, h_{i_p})(g^*, h_1) \,|\, 1 \leq p \leq k\} = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{i_p})(g^*, h_1) \,|\, 1 \leq p \leq k\}$ is an S-Steiner tree in $G \circ H$, and hence $d_{G\circ H}(S) \leq k$. So, we have $d_{G\circ H}(S) = k$.

(3) Since $r \geq 2$, it follows that the vertices in S belong to at least two copies of H in $G \circ H$. From the definition of r, we can assume that $H(g_1), H(g_2), \ldots, H(g_r)$ satisfy $S \cap V(H(g_i)) \neq \emptyset$ for each g_i $(1 \leq i \leq r)$, and $S \cap V(H(g_i)) = \emptyset$ for each g_i $(r+1 \leq i \leq n)$. Let $S'_G = \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_r\}$. Then $S'_G = S_G$ when we regard S_G as a normal set, not a multi-set. Clearly, $d_G(S_G) = d_G(S'_G)$, and $S = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{i_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{i_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{i_k})\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} V(H(g_i))$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $(g_{i_a}, h_{i_a}) \in V(H(g_a))$ for each a $(1 \leq a \leq r)$. Then $(g_{i_a}, h_{i_a}) = (g_a, h_{i_a})$ for each a $(1 \leq a \leq r)$. Let $S' = \{(g_a, h_{i_a}) \,|\, 1 \leq a \leq r\}$. Then $(g_{i_{r+1}}, h_{i_{r+1}}), (g_{i_{r+2}}, h_{i_{r+2}}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{i_k}) \in (\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} V(H(g_i)) \setminus S'$. Note that there exists an S'_G-Steiner tree T_G of size $d_G(S'_G) = d_G(S_G)$ in G. Without loss of generality, let $V(T_G) = \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_t\}$, where $r \leq t \leq n$. In order to select $d_G(S'_G)$ edges in $G \circ H$ to form an S'-Steiner tree T' in $G \circ H$ isomorphic to T_G in G such that $V(T') \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} V(H(g_i))$, we define a function $f : E(T_G) \longrightarrow E(T')$:

$$f(g_a g_b) = \begin{cases} (g_a, h_{i_a})(g_b, h_{i_b}), & \text{if } g_a \in S_G \text{ and } g_b \in S_G; \\ (g_a, h_{i_a})(g_b, h_1), & \text{if } g_a \in S_G \text{ and } g_b \notin S_G; \\ (g_a, h_1)(g_b, h_1), & \text{if } g_a \notin S_G \text{ and } g_b \notin S_G, \end{cases}$$

for each $g_a g_b \in E(T_G)$ $(1 \leq a \neq b \leq t)$. Note that T' is an S'-Steiner tree in $G \circ H$.

We now extend the tree T' to an S-Steiner tree T by adding $|S| - |S'| = k - r$ edges in $G \circ H$. For each vertex $(g_{i_a}, h_{j_a}) \in S \setminus S'$ $(r+1 \leq a \leq k)$, since there exists a vertex $g_{i_b} \in V(T_G)$ $(1 \leq b \neq a \leq t)$ in G such that $g_{i_a} g_{i_b} \in E(T_G)$, we select an edge

$$e_a = \begin{cases} (g_a, h_{i_a})(g_b, h_{i_b}), & \text{if } g_b \in S_G; \\ (g_a, h_{i_a})(g_b, h_1), & \text{if } g_b \notin S_G \end{cases}$$

in $G \circ H$, and then add it into T'. Observe that the tree induced by the edges in $E(T') \cup \{e_a \,|\, r+1 \leq i \leq k\}$ is an S-Steiner tree T in $G \circ H$. Since $|E(T)| = d_G(S_G) + k - r$, it follows that $d_{G\circ H}(S) \leq d_G(S_G) + k - r$.

It remains us to show that $d_{G\circ H}(S) \geq d_G(S_G) + k - r$. Recall that $V(G) = \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $H(g_1), H(g_2), \ldots, H(g_r)$ be the H copies such that $V(H(g_i)) \cap S \neq \emptyset$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. Clearly, $S = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{i_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{i_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{i_k})\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} V(H(g_i))$. Set $|S \cap V(H(g_i))| = x_i$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_i = k$. Without loss of generality, let $S_i = S \cap V(H(g_i)) = \{(g_i, h_j) \,|\, 1 \leq j \leq x_i\}$ for each g_i $(1 \leq i \leq r)$. In order to find an S-Steiner tree T in $G \circ H$, we need the edges between some $H(g_i)$ and $H(g_j)$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq r$.

Note that $S_i \subseteq V(H(g_i))$ for each i $(1 \leq i \leq r)$. Clearly, there exists a subtree T' connecting S' in T such that $E(T') \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} E(H(g_i))) = \emptyset$, where $|S' \cap S_i| = 1$ $(1 \leq i \leq r)$. Since $|E(T')| \geq d_G(S_G)$ and $|S| - |S'| = k - r$, it follows that T is an S-Steiner tree of size $d_G(S_G) + k - r$ in $G \circ H$, and hence $d_{G\circ H}(S) \geq d_G(S_G) + k - r$.

From the above argument, we conclude that $d_{G\circ H}(S) = d_G(S_G) + k - r$. ∎

In Theorem 3.1, we assume that G is a connected graph. For $k = 3$, we have the following by assuming that G is not connected.

Proposition 3.1 *Let G and H be two graphs, and let (g,h), (g',h') and (g'',h'') be three vertices of $G \circ H$. Let $S = \{(g,h),(g',h'),(g'',h'')\}$, $S_G = \{g,g',g''\}$ and $S_H = \{h,h',h''\}$. Then*

$$d_{G\circ H}(S) = \begin{cases} d_H(S_H), & \text{if } g = g' = g'' \text{ and } deg_G(g) = 0; \\ \min\{d_H(S_H), 3\}, & \text{if } g = g' = g'' \text{ and } deg_G(g) \neq 0; \\ \infty, & \text{if } g \neq g',\ g' = g'' \text{ and } d_G(g,g') = \infty; \\ d_G(g,g') + 1, & \text{if } g \neq g',\ g' = g'' \text{ and } d_G(g,g') \neq \infty; \\ d_G(S_G), & \text{if } g \neq g',\ g \neq g'' \text{ and } g' \neq g''. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose that $g = g' = g''$ and $deg_G(g) = 0$. Since g is isolated, it follows that $H(g)$ is a component of $G \circ H$, and hence $d_{G\circ H}(S) = d_H(S_H)$.

Suppose that $g = g' = g''$ and $deg_G(g) \geq 1$. Since $deg_G(g) \geq 1$, there exists a vertex g^* in G such that $gg^* \in E(G)$, and hence the tree induced by the edges in

$$\{(g,h)(g^*,h),(g,h')(g^*,h),(g,h'')(g^*,h)\}$$

is an S-Steiner tree. Therefore, $d_{G\circ H}(S) \leq 3$. On the other hand, from Observation 1.1, $d_{G\circ H}(S) \geq 2$. So $d_{G\circ H}(S) = 2$ or $d_{G\circ H}(S) = 3$. Since $d_H(S_H) \geq 2$ by Observation 1.1, it follows that $d_{G\circ H}(S) = \min\{d_H(S_H), 3\}$.

Suppose that $g \neq g'$, $g' = g''$ and $d_G(g,g') = \infty$. Then there is no path connecting g and g' in G. Note that $(g,h) \in V(H(g))$ and $(g',h'),(g'',h'') \in V(H(g'))$. Clearly, there is no S-Steiner tree in $G \circ H$. Therefore, $d_{G\circ H}(S) = \infty$.

Suppose that $g \neq g'$, $g' = g''$ and $d_G(g,g') \neq \infty$. Set $d_G(g,g') = \ell$. Let $P = gg_1g_2\cdots g_{\ell-1}g'$ be a path connecting g and g' in G. Then the tree induced by the edges in

$$\{(g,h)(g_1,h),(g_1,h)(g_2,h),\cdots,(g_{\ell-2},h)(g_{\ell-1},h),(g_{\ell-1},h)(g',h'),(g_{\ell-1},h)(g'',h'')\}$$

is an S-Steiner tree. Therefore, $d_{G\circ H}(S) \leq \ell + 1$. It suffices to show $d_{G\circ H}(S) \geq \ell + 1$. From Observation 2.1, any minimal S-Steiner tree T is a path or there exists a vertex

$(g^*, h^*) \in V(G \circ H) \setminus S$ such that the tree T consists of three paths connecting (g^*, h^*) and $(g, h), (g', h'), (g'', h'')$, respectively. If T is a path, then we can assume that (g', h') be the internal vertex of the path T. Since $g' = g''$, it follows that $(g', h'), (g'', h'') \in V(H(g'))$. One can see that the length of the path from (g', h') to (g'', h'') is at least 1. By Lemma 3.2, $d_{G\circ H}(S) = d_{G\circ H}((g, h)(g', h')) + 1 \geq d_G(g, g') + 1 = \ell + 1$, as desired. Suppose that T is a tree and there exists a vertex $(g^*, h^*) \in V(G \circ H) \setminus S$ such that T consists of three paths connecting (g^*, h^*) and $(g, h), (g', h'), (g'', h'')$, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{G\circ H}(S) &= d_{G\circ H}((g, h)(g^*, h^*)) + d_{G\circ H}((g', h')(g^*, h^*)) + d_{G\circ H}((g'', h'')(g^*, h^*)) \\ &\geq d_G(g, g^*) + d_G(g', g^*) + 1 \text{ (by Lemma 3.2)} \\ &\geq \ell + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $g \neq g'$, $g \neq g''$ and $g' \neq g''$. From Lemma 3.4, we have $d_{G\circ H}(S) = d_G(S_G)$, as desired. The proof is now complete. ∎

3.2 Steiner diameter of lexicographic product graphs

By Theorem 3.1, we can derive the following results for Steiner diameter of lexicographic product graphs.

Theorem 3.2 *Let k, n, m be three integers with $2 \leq k \leq mn$. Let G be a connected graph of order n, and H be a graph of order m. Then*

$$sdiam_k(G \circ H) \leq \begin{cases} sdiam_k(G) + k - 2, & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq n; \\ \max\{n + k - 3, k\}, & \text{if } n < k \leq mn. \end{cases}$$

and

$$sdiam_k(G \circ H) \geq \begin{cases} sdiam_k(G), & \text{if } m + 1 \leq k \leq n; \\ n - 1, & \text{if } \max\{n, m + 1\} \leq k \leq mn; \\ k - 1, & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We first consider the upper bounds. From the definition of $sdiam_k(G \circ H)$, there exists a vertex subset $S \subseteq V(G\circ H)$ with $|S| = k$ such that $d_{G\circ H}(S) = sdiam_k(G\circ H)$. Let $S = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{i_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{i_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{i_k})\}$, and $S_G = \{g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \ldots, g_{i_k}\}$. From Theorem 3.1, if $r \geq 2$, then $sdiam_k(G \circ H) = d_{G\circ H}(S) = d_G(S_G) + k - r \leq d_G(S_G) + k - 2$. Furthermore, if $k \leq n$, then $d_G(S_G) \leq sdiam_k(G)$, and hence $sdiam_k(G \circ H) \leq d_G(S_G) + k - 2 \leq sdiam_k(G) + k - 2$. If $n < k \leq mn$, then $d_G(S_G) \leq n - 1$, and hence $sdiam_k(G \circ H) \leq d_G(S_G) + k - 2 \leq (n - 1) + k - 2 = n + k - 3$. Note that if $r = 1$, then $k \leq m$, and hence $sdiam_k(G \circ H) = d_{G\circ H}(S) \leq k$. From the above argument, we conclude that

$sdiam_k(G \circ H) \leq sdiam_k(G) + k - 2$ if $k \leq n$, and $sdiam_k(G \circ H) \leq \max\{n + k - 3, k\}$ if $n < k \leq mn$, as desired.

Next, we consider the lower bounds. If $k \leq m$, then $sdiam_k(G \circ H) \geq k-1$. If $k \geq m+1$, then $r \geq 2$. Suppose $m+1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $S = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{i_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{i_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{i_k})\}$ be a set of distinct vertices of $G\Box H$ such that $d_G(S_G) = sdiam_k(G)$, where $S_G = \{g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \ldots, g_{i_k}\}$. Furthermore, $sdiam_k(G) = d_G(S_G) \leq d_G(S_G) + k - r = d_{G \circ H}(S) \leq sdiam_k(G \circ H)$. Suppose $k \geq \max\{n, m+1\}$. Let $S = \{(g_{i_1}, h_{i_1}), (g_{i_2}, h_{i_2}), \ldots, (g_{i_k}, h_{i_k})\}$ be a set of distinct vertices of $G\Box H$ such that $d_G(S_G) = V(G)$, where $S_G = \{g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \ldots, g_{i_k}\}$. Furthermore, $n - 1 = d_G(S_G) \leq d_G(S_G) + k - r = d_{G \circ H}(S) \leq sdiam_k(G \circ H)$. ∎

To show the sharpness of the upper and lower bound in Theorem 3.2, we consider the following example.

Example 3: Let $G = P_n$, and H be a graph of order m. If $k \leq \min\{2m, n\}$, then $sdiam_k(G \circ H) = n + k - 3 = sdiam_k(G) + k - 2$. If $\max\{n, m+1\} \leq k \leq 2m$, then $sdiam_k(G \circ H) = n + k - 3 = \max\{n + k - 3, k\}$. These implies that the upper bounds in Theorem 3.2 are sharp. Let $G = K_n$ and $H = K_m$. Then $G \circ H$ is a complete graph of order mn. If $2 \leq k \leq m$, then $sdiam_k(G \circ H) = k - 1$. If $m + 1 \leq k \leq n$, then $sdiam_k(G \circ H) = k - 1 = sdiam_k(G)$. These implies that the lower bounds in Theorem 3.2 are sharp.

The following result is immediate from Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 3.2 *Let G, H be two connected graphs. Then*

$$sdiam_3(G \circ H) = \begin{cases} diam(G) + 1 & \text{if } G = P_n,\ diam(G) \geq 2, \\ sdiam_3(G) & \text{if } G \neq P_n,\ diam(G) \geq 2, \\ \min\{sdiam_3(H), 3\} & \text{if } G = K_n. \end{cases}$$

4 Applications

In this section, we demonstrate the usefulness of the proposed constructions by applying them to some instances of Cartesian and lexicographical product networks.

The following results are immediate.

Proposition 4.1 *Let k, n be two integers with $2 \leq k \leq n$.*

(1) *For a complete graph K_n, $sdiam_k(K_n) = k - 1$;*

(2) *For a path P_n, $sdiam_k(P_n) = n - 1$;*

(3) *For a cycle C_n, $sdiam_k(C_n) = \lfloor \frac{n(k-1)}{k} \rfloor$.*

4.1 Two-dimensional grid graph

A *two-dimensional grid graph* $G_{n,m}$ is the Cartesian product graph $P_n \Box P_m$ of path graphs on m and n vertices. For more details on grid graph, we refer to [9, 36]. The network $P_n \circ P_m$ is the lexicographical product of P_n and P_m; see [43].

Proposition 4.2 *Let k, n, m be three integers with $3 \leq k \leq mn$, $n \geq 3$, and $m \geq 3$.*

(i) For network $P_n \Box P_m$,

$$m + n - 2 \leq sdiam_k(P_n \Box P_m) \leq m + n - 2 + (k-3)\min\{m-1, n-1\}.$$

(ii) For network $P_n \circ P_m$,

$$n + k - 3 \geq sdiam_k(P_n \circ P_m) \geq \begin{cases} n-1, & \text{if } m+1 \leq k \leq mn; \\ k-1, & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. *(i)* From (2) of Proposition 4.1, we have $sdiam_k(P_n) = n-1$ and $sdiam_k(P_m) = m-1$. By Theorem 2.2, $sdiam_k(P_n \Box P_m) \geq sdiam_k(P_n) + sdiam_k(P_m) = m + n - 2$ and $sdiam_k(P_n \Box P_m) \leq m + n - 2 + (k-3)\min\{m-1, n-1\}$.

(ii) Set $G = P_n$ and $H = P_m$. From Theorem 3.2, the result holds. ∎

4.2 r-dimensional mesh

An *r-dimensional mesh* is the Cartesian product of r paths. By this definition, two-dimensional grid graph is a 2-dimensional mesh. An r-dimensional hypercube is a special case of an r-dimensional mesh, in which the r linear arrays are all of size 2; see [37].

Proposition 4.3 *Let $k, m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_r$ be the integers with $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \cdots \geq m_r$ and $3 \leq k \leq \prod_{i=1}^{r} m_i$.*

(i) For r-dimensional mesh $P_{m_1} \Box P_{m_2} \Box \cdots \Box P_{m_r}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i - r \leq sdiam_k(P_{m_1} \Box P_{m_2} \Box \cdots \Box P_{m_r}) \leq (k-2)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i - r + 1\right) + m_1 - 1.$$

(ii) For network $P_{m_1} \circ P_{m_2} \circ \cdots \circ P_{m_r}$,

$$m_1 + k - 2 \geq sdiam_k(P_{m_1} \circ P_{m_2} \circ \cdots \circ P_{m_r}) \geq \begin{cases} m_1 - 1, & \text{if } 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{r} m_i \leq k \leq \prod_{i=1}^{r} m_i; \\ k-1, & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq \sum_{i=2}^{r} m_i. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1) From (2) of Proposition 4.1, $sdiam_k(P_{m_i}) = m_i - 1$ for each i $(1 \leq i \leq r)$. From Theorem 2.2, we have $sdiam_k(P_{m_1} \Box P_{m_2} \Box \cdots \Box P_{m_r}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{r} sdiam_k(P_{m_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i - r$,

and $sdiam_k(G\Box H) \leq sdiam_k(G) + sdiam_k(H) + (k-3)\min\{sdiam_k(G), sdiam_k(H)\}$ for two connected graphs G and H, and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& sdiam_k(P_{m_1}\Box P_{m_2}\Box\cdots\Box P_{m_r}) \\
= \;& sdiam_k((P_{m_1}\Box P_{m_2}\Box\cdots\Box P_{m_{r-1}})\Box P_{m_r}) \\
\leq \;& sdiam_k(P_{m_1}\Box P_{m_2}\Box\cdots\Box P_{m_{r-1}}) + sdiam_k(P_{m_r}) \\
& +(k-3)\min\{sdiam_k(P_{m_1}\Box P_{m_2}\Box\cdots\Box P_{m_{r-1}}), sdiam_k(P_{m_r})\} \\
= \;& sdiam_k(P_{m_1}\Box P_{m_2}\Box\cdots\Box P_{m_{r-1}}) + (k-2)(m_r-1) \\
\leq \;& sdiam_k(P_{m_1}\Box P_{m_2}\Box\cdots\Box P_{m_{r-2}}) + sdiam_k(P_{m_{r-1}}) + (k-2)(m_r-1) \\
& +(k-3)\min\{sdiam_k(P_{m_1}\Box P_{m_2}\Box\cdots\Box P_{m_{r-2}}), sdiam_k(P_{m_{r-1}})\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
= \;& sdiam_k(P_{m_1}\Box P_{m_2}\Box\cdots\Box P_{m_{r-2}}) + (k-2)(m_{r-1}-1) + (k-2)(m_r-1) \\
\leq \;& \cdots \\
\leq \;& sdiam_k(P_{m_1}) + (k-2)\left(\sum_{i=2}^{r} m_i - r + 1\right) \\
= \;& (k-2)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i - r + 1\right) + m_1 - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

(2) From Theorem 2.2, the result holds. ∎

4.3 r-dimensional torus

An *r-dimensional torus* is the Cartesian product of r cycles $C_{m_1}, C_{m_2}, \cdots, C_{m_r}$ of size at least three. The cycles C_{m_i} are not necessary to have the same size. Ku et al. [38] showed that there are r edge-disjoint spanning trees in an r-dimensional torus. The network $C_{m_1} \circ C_{m_2} \circ \cdots \circ C_{m_r}$ is investigated in [43]. Here, we consider the networks constructed by $C_{m_1}\Box C_{m_2}\Box\cdots\Box C_{m_r}$ and $C_{m_1} \circ C_{m_2} \circ \cdots \circ C_{m_r}$.

Proposition 4.4 *Let $k, m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_r$ be the integers with $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \cdots \geq m_r \geq 3$ and $3 \leq k \leq \prod_{i=1}^{r} m_i$.*

(1) *For network $C_{m_1}\Box C_{m_2}\Box\cdots\Box C_{m_r}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left\lfloor\frac{(k-1)m_i}{k}\right\rfloor \;&\leq\; sdiam_k(C_{m_1}\Box C_{m_2}\Box\cdots\Box C_{m_r}) \\
&\leq\; \left\lfloor\frac{m_1(k-1)}{k}\right\rfloor + (k-2)\sum_{i=2}^{n}\left\lfloor\frac{m_i(k-1)}{k}\right\rfloor,
\end{aligned}$$

where m_i is the order of C_{m_i} and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

(2) *For network $C_{m_1} \circ C_{m_2} \circ \cdots \circ C_{m_r}$,*

$$sdiam_k(C_{m_1} \circ C_{m_2} \circ \cdots \circ C_{m_r}) \leq \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{(k-1)m_1}{k} \right\rfloor + k - 2, & \text{if } k \leq m_1; \\ m_1 + k - 3, & \text{if } m_1 < k \leq \prod_{i=1}^{r} m_i. \end{cases}$$

and

$$sdiam_k(C_{m_1} \circ C_{m_2} \circ \cdots \circ C_{m_r}) \geq \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{(k-1)m_1}{k} \right\rfloor, & \text{if } \sum_{i=2}^{r} m_i + 1 \leq k \leq \prod_{i=1}^{r} m_i; \\ m_1 - 1, & \text{if } \max\{m_1, \sum_{i=2}^{r} m_i\} \leq k \leq \prod_{i=1}^{r} m_i; \\ k - 1, & \text{if } 3 \leq k \leq \sum_{i=2}^{r} m_i. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1) From (3) of Proposition 4.1, $sdiam_k(C_{m_i}) = \left\lfloor \frac{(k-1)m_i}{k} \right\rfloor$ for each i $(1 \leq i \leq r)$. By Theorem 2.2, we have

$$sdiam_k(C_{m_1} \Box C_{m_2} \Box \cdots \Box C_{m_r}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{r} sdiam_k(C_{m_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left\lfloor \frac{(k-1)m_i}{k} \right\rfloor.$$

and

$$sdiam_k(C_{m_1} \Box C_{m_2} \Box \cdots \Box C_{m_r}) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(k-1)m_1}{k} \right\rfloor + (k-2) \sum_{i=2}^{r} \left\lfloor \frac{(k-1)m_i}{k} \right\rfloor.$$

(2) The result follows from Theorem 3.2. ∎

4.4 r-dimensional generalized hypercube

Let K_m be a clique of m vertices, $m \geq 2$. An *r-dimensional generalized hypercube* or *Hamming graph* [19, 24] is the product of r cliques. We have the following:

Proposition 4.5 *Let $k, m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_r$ be the integers with $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \cdots \geq m_r \geq k \geq 2$.*

(1) *For network $K_{m_1} \Box K_{m_2} \Box \cdots \Box K_{m_r}$,*

$$r(k-1) \leq sdiam_k(K_{m_1} \Box K_{m_2} \Box \cdots \Box K_{m_r}) \leq (k-1)(kr - 2r - k + 3).$$

(2) *For network $K_{m_1} \circ K_{m_2} \circ \cdots \circ K_{m_r}$,*

$$sdiam_k(K_{m_1} \circ K_{m_2} \circ \cdots \circ K_{m_r}) = k - 1.$$

Proof. (1) From (1) of Proposition 4.1, $sdiam_k(K_{m_i}) = k - 1$ for each i $(1 \leq i \leq r)$. From Theorem 2.2, $sdiam_k(K_{m_1} \Box K_{m_2} \Box \cdots \Box K_{m_r}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{r} sdiam_k(K_{m_i}) = r(k-1)$ and $sdiam_k(K_{m_1} \Box K_{m_2} \Box \cdots \Box K_{m_r}) \leq (k-2)(k-1)(r-1) + (k-1) = (k-1)(kr - 2r - k + 3)$.

(2) From the definition of lexicographical product, $K_{m_1} \circ K_{m_2} \circ \cdots \circ K_{m_n}$ is a complete graph, and hence $sdiam_k(K_{m_1} \circ K_{m_2} \circ \cdots \circ K_{m_n}) = k - 1$. ∎

4.5 n-dimensional hyper Petersen network

An *n-dimensional hyper Petersen network* HP_n is the product of the well-known Petersen graph and Q_{n-3} [17], where $n \geq 3$ and Q_{n-3} denotes an $(n-3)$-dimensional hypercube. The cases $n = 3$ and 4 of hyper Petersen networks are depicted in Figure 6. Note that HP_3 is just the Petersen graph (see Figure 4 (a)).

The network HL_n is the lexicographical product of the Petersen graph and Q_{n-3}, where $n \geq 3$ and Q_{n-3} denotes an $(n-3)$-dimensional hypercube; see [43]. Note that HL_3 is just the Petersen graph, and HL_4 is a graph obtained from two copies of the Petersen graph by add one edge between one vertex in a copy of the Petersen graph and one vertex in another copy. See Figure 4 (c) for an example (We only show the edges v_1u_i $(1 \leq i \leq 10)$).



Figure 4: (a) Petersen graph; (b) The network HP_4; (c) The structure of HL_4.

Similarly to the proof of (4) of Theorem 2.2, we can get the following observation.

Observation 4.1 *Let G be a connected graph of order n. If $n - \kappa(G) + 1 \leq k \leq n$, then $sdiam_k(G) = k - 1$.*

Proposition 4.6 (1) *For network HP_3 and HL_3,*

$$sdiam_k(HP_3) = sdiam_k(HL_3) = \begin{cases} k+1, & \text{if } k = 3, 4; \\ k, & \text{if } k = 5, 6, 7; \\ k-1, & \text{if } 8 \leq k \leq 10. \end{cases}$$

(2) *For network HL_4,*

$$sdiam_k(HL_4) = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } 3 \leq k \leq 7; \\ k-1, & \text{if } 8 \leq k \leq 20. \end{cases}$$

(3) *For network HP_4,*

$$\begin{cases} sdiam_k(HP_4) = 5, & \text{if } k = 3; \\ k-1 \leq sdiam_k(HP_4) \leq 9 + \lfloor k/2 \rfloor, & \text{if } 4 \leq k \leq 16; \\ sdiam_k(HP_4) = k-1, & \text{if } 17 \leq k \leq 20. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1) Observe that HL_3 is just the Petersen graph. Set $G = HL_3$. Choose $S = \{v_1, v_3, v_9\}$. One can see that any S-Steiner tree must use at least 4 edges of G, and hence $sdiam_3(G) \geq d_G(S) \geq 4$. One can check that $d_G(S) \leq 4$ for any $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $|S| = 3$. Therefore, $sdiam_3(G) \leq 4$, and hence $sdiam_3(G) = sdiam_3(HL_3) = 4$. Since $HL_3 = HP_3$, we have $sdiam_3(HP_3) = sdiam_3(HL_3) = 4$. Since $\kappa(G) = 3$, it follows from Observation 4.1 that $sdiam_k(G) = k-1$ if $8 \leq k \leq 10$. If $k = 4$, then we choose $S = \{v_1, v_4, v_7, v_8\}$. One can see that any S-Steiner tree must use at least 5 edges of G, and hence $sdiam_4(G) \geq d_G(S) \geq 5$. One can check that $d_G(S) \leq 5$ for any $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $|S| = 3$. So, we have $sdiam_4(G) = 5$. Similarly, we can prove that $sdiam_k(G) = k$ if $5 \leq k \leq 7$.

(2) For network HL_4, there are two copies of Petersen graphs, say HL_3 and HL_3'. Set $G = HL_4$, $V(HL_3) = \{v_i \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq 10\}$ and $V(HL_3') = \{u_i \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq 10\}$. Choose $S = \{v_1, v_2, v_9\}$. One can see that any S-Steiner tree must use at least 3 edges of G, and hence $sdiam_3(G) \geq d_G(S) \geq 3$. It suffices to show that $d_G(S) \leq 3$ for any $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $|S| = 3$. Suppose $S \subseteq V(HL_3)$ or $S \subseteq V(HL_3')$. Without loss of generality, let $S = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \subseteq V(HL_3)$. If $d_{HL_3}(S) = 4$, then the tree induced by the edges in $\{u_1v_1, u_1v_2, u_1v_3\}$ is an S-Steiner tree, and hence $d_G(S) \leq 3$. Otherwise, $d_G(S) \leq d_{HL_3}(S) \leq 3$, as desired. Suppose $|S \cap V(HL_3)| = 2$ or $|S \cap V(HL_3')| = 2$. Without loss of generality, let $|S \cap V(HL_3)| = 2$ and $S = \{v_1, v_2, u_1\}$. Then the tree induced by the edges in $\{u_1v_1, u_1v_2\}$ is an S-Steiner tree, and hence $d_G(S) \leq 2$, as desired. So $sdiam_3(HL_4) = 3$. Since $\kappa(G) = 13$, it follows from Observation 4.1 that $sdiam_k(G) = k-1$ if $8 \leq k \leq 20$. One can also prove that $sdiam_k(G) = k$ if $3 \leq k \leq 7$.

(3) For network HP_4, there are two copies of Petersen graphs, say HP_3 and HP_3'. Set $G = HP_4$, $V(HP_3) = \{v_i \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq 10\}$ and $V(HP_3') = \{u_i \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq 10\}$. Choose $S = \{u_1, u_3, v_{10}\}$. One can see that any S-Steiner tree must use at least 5 edges of G, and hence $sdiam_3(G) \geq d_G(S) \geq 5$. One can check that $d_G(S) \leq 5$ for any $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $|S| = 3$. Then $sdiam_3(HP_4) \leq 5$, and hence $sdiam_3(HP_4) = 5$. Since $\kappa(G) = 4$, it follows from Observation 4.1 that $sdiam_k(G) = k-1$ if $17 \leq k \leq 20$. For $4 \leq k \leq 16$, we have $sdiam_k(HP_4) \geq k-1$, and for any $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| = k$, we let $S \cap V(HP_3) = S_1$ and $S \cap V(HP_3') = S_2$. Without loss of generality, let $|S_1| \geq \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$. Let $S_2 = S \cap V(HP_3') = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_x\}$, where $x \leq \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$. Since HP_3 is connected, it follows that it contains a spanning tree T of size 9. Then the tree induced by the edges in $E(T) \cup \{u_iv_i \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq x\}$ is an S-Steiner tree in G, and hence $d_G(S) \leq x + 9 \leq \lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 9$. ∎

References

[1] P. Ali, *The Steiner diameter of a graph with prescribed girth*, Discrete Math. 313(12)(2013), 1322–1326.

[2] P. Ali, P. Dankelmann, and S. Mukwembi, *Upper bounds on the Steiner diameter of a graph*, Discrete Appl. Math. 160(2012)(12), 1845–1850.

[3] B.S. Anand, M. Changat, S. Klavar, I. Peterin, *Convex sets in lexicographic product of graphs*, Graphs Combin. 28(2012), 77–84.

[4] F. Bao, Y. Igarashi, and S. R. Öhring, *Reliable Broadcasting in Product Networks*, Discrete Applied Math. 83(1998), 3–20.

[5] G.S. Bloom, *A characterization of graphs of diameter two*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 95(1)(1988), 37–38.

[6] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory*, GTM 244, Springer, 2008.

[7] F. Buckley and F. Harary, *Distance in Graphs*, Addision-Wesley, Redwood City, CA (1990).

[8] J. Cáceresa, A. Márquezb, and M. L. Puertasa, *Steiner distance and convexity in graphs*, European J. Combin. 29(2008), 726–736.

[9] N.J. Calkin and H.S. Wilf, *The number of independent sets in a grid graph* , SIAM J. Discrete Math. 11(1)(1998), 54–60.

[10] G. Chartrand, O.R. Oellermann, S. Tian, and H.B. Zou, *Steiner distance in graphs*, Ćasopis pro pěstování matematiky 114(1989), 399–410.

[11] G. Chartrand, F. Okamoto, and P. Zhang, *Rainbow trees in graphs and generalized connectivity*, Networks 55(2010), 360–367.

[12] F.R.K. Chung, *Diameter of graphs: Old problems and new results*, 18th Southeastern Conf. on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing (1987).

[13] P. Dankelmann and R. Entringer, *Average distance, minimum degree, and spanning trees*, J. Graph Theory, 33(2000), 1–13.

[14] P. Dankelmann, O.R. Oellermann, and H.C. Swart, *The average Steiner distance of a graph*, J. Graph Theory 22(1)(1996), 15–22.

[15] P. Dankelmann, H.C. Swart, and O.R. Oellermann, *On the average Steiner distance of graphs with prescribed properties*, Discrete Appl. Math. 79(1997), 91–103.

[16] P. Dankelmann, H. Swart, and O.R. Oellermann, (Kalamazoo, MI, 1996) *Bounds on the Steiner diameter of a graph*, Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Algorithms, Vol. I, II, New Issues Press, Kalamazoo, MI, 1999, 269–279.

[17] S.K. Das, S.R. Öhring, and A.K. Banerjee, *Embeddings into hyper Petersen network: Yet another hypercube-like interconnection topology*, VLSI Design, 2(4)(1995), 335–351.

[18] A. D'Atri and M. Moscarini, *Distance-hereditary graphs, Steiner trees, and connected domination*, SIAM J. Comput. 17(3), 521–538.

[19] K. Day and A.-E. Al-Ayyoub, *The cross product of interconnection networks*, IEEE Trans. Parallel and Distributed Systems 8(2)(1997), 109–118.

[20] A. Dobrynin, R. Entringer, I. Gutman, *Wiener index of trees: theory and application*, Acta Appl. Math. 66 (2001) 211–249.

[21] D.P. Day, O.R. Oellermann, and H.C. Swart, *Steiner distance-hereditary graphs*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 7(3)(1994), 437–442.

[22] D.Z. Du, Y.D. Lyuu, and D.F. Hsu, *Line digraph iteration and connectivity analysis of de Bruijn and Kautz graphs*, IEEE Trans. Comput. 42(1993), 612–616.

[23] B. Furtula, I. Gutman, V. Katanić, *Three-center Harary index and its applications*, Iranian J. Math. Chem. 7(1)(2016), 61–68.

[24] P. Fragopoulou, S.G. Akl, and H. Meijer, *Optimal communication primitives on the generalized hypercube network*, IEEE Trans. Parallel and Distributed Computing 32(2)(1996), 173–187.

[25] M.R. Garey and D.S. Johnson, *Computers and Intractibility: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*, Freeman & Company, New York, 1979.

[26] W. Goddard, O.R. Oellrmann, and H.C. Swart, *Steiner distance stable graphs*, Discrete Math. 132(1994),65–73.

[27] W. Goddard and O.R. Oellermann, *Distance in Graphs*, available on online.

[28] T. Gologranc, *Steiner Convex Sets and Cartesian Product*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. DOI 10.1007/s40840-016-0312-8.

[29] I. Gutman, On Steiner degree distance of trees, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 283(2016), 163–167.

[30] I. Gutman, B. Furtula, X. Li, *Multicenter Wiener indices and their applications*, J. Serb. Chem. Soc. 80 (2015) 1009–1017.

[31] S.L. Hakimi, *Steiner's problem in graph and its implications*, Networks 1(1971), 113–133.

[32] R. Hammack, W. Imrich, and S. Klavžar, *Handbook of product graphs*, Secend edition, CRC Press, 2011.

[33] D.F. Hsu, *On container width and length in graphs, groups, and networks*, IEICE Transaction on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Science, E77-A(1994), 668-680.

[34] D.F. Hsu and T. Łuczak, *Note on the k-diameter of k-regular k-connected graphs*, Discrete Math. 133(1994), 291–296.

[35] F.K. Hwang, D.S. Richards, and P. Winter, *The Steiner Tree Problem*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992.

[36] A. Itai and M. Rodeh, *The multi-tree approach to reliability in distributed networks*, Information and Computation 79 (1988), 43–59.

[37] S.L. Johnsson and C.T. Ho, *Optimum broadcasting and personaized communication in hypercubes*, IEEE Trans. Computers 38(9)(1989), 1249–1268.

[38] S. Ku, B. Wang, and T. Hung, *Constructing edge- disjoint spanning trees in product networks*, Parallel and Distributed Systems, IEEE Transactions on parallel and disjoited systems 14(3)(2003), 213-221.

[39] A.Y. Levi, *Algorithm for shortest connection of a group of graph vertices*, Sov. Math. Dokl. 12(1971), 1477–1481.

[40] X. Li, Y. Mao, I. Gutman, *The Steiner Wiener index of a graph*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 36(2016), 455–465.

[41] X. Li, Y. Mao, I. Gutman, *Inverse problem on the Steiner Wiener index*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory., in press.

[42] Y. Mao, *The Steiner diameter of a graph*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc., in press.

[43] Y. Mao, *Path-connectivity of lexicographical product graphs*, Int. J. Comput. Math. 93(1)(2016), 27–39.

[44] Y. Mao, Z. Wang, I. Gutman, *Steiner Wiener index of graph products*, Trans. Combin. 5(3)(2016), 39–50.

[45] Y. Mao, Z. Wang, I. Gutman, A. Klobučar, *Steiner degree distance*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 78(1)(2017), 221–230.

[46] Y. Mao, Z. Wang, I. Gutman, H. Li, *Nordhaus-Gaddum-type results for the Steiner Wiener index of graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. 219(2017), 167–175.

[47] F.J. Meyer and D.K. Pradhan, *Flip trees*, IEEE Trans. Computers 37(3)(1987), 472–478.

[48] O.R. Oellermann, *From steiner centers to steiner medians*, J. Graph Theory 20(2)(1995), 113–122.

[49] O.R. Oellermann, *On Steiner centers and Steiner medians of graphs*, Networks 34(1999), 258–263.

[50] O.R. Oellermann and S. Tian, *Steiner Centers in graphs*, J. Graph Theory 14(5)(1990), 585–597.

[51] D.H. Rouvray, *Harry in the limelight: The life and times of Harry Wiener*, in: D.H. Rouvray, R.B. King (Eds.), *Topology in Chemistry — Discrete Mathematics of Molecules*, Horwood, Chichester, 2002, pp. 1–15.

[52] D.H. Rouvray, *The rich legacy of half century of the Wiener index*, in: D.H. Rouvray, R.B. King (Eds.), *Topology in Chemistry — Discrete Mathematics of Molecules*, Horwood, Chichester, 2002, pp. 16–37.

[53] K. Xu, M. Liu, K.C. Das, I. Gutman, B. Furtula, *A survey on graphs extremal with respect to distance–based topological indices*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 71 (2014) 461–508.